

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/685,095	10/10/2003	Bryce C. Waggoner	STD 1200 PA/41213.551 5499	
759	90 04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
One Dayton Centre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 500 One South Main Street			1772	
Dayton, OH 45402-2023			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/685,095	WAGGONER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this committee (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· .	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ige			
		·					
Attachmen	• •	<u> </u>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Repeated Rejections

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1 8, 10 20 and 22 over Attia et al. in view of Haas is repeated for the reasons of record in the office action dated November 21, 2005 as the arguments presented by the Applicant in the response dated February 21, 2006 are unpersuasive.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 9 and 21 over Attia et al. in view of Haas and Charles et al. is repeated for the reasons of record in the office action dated November 21, 2005 as the arguments presented by the Applicant in the response dated February 21, 2006 are unpersuasive.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed February 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Attia et al. has no opaque coating on the upper surface, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Haas teaches that it is known to use an opaque coating on the surface of a transparent ply (Column 9, line 10 - 12; Column 10, lines 1 - 5).

Art Unit: 1772

In response to Applicant's argument that the Attia et al. form is missing a die cut in the transparent ply that forms an overlaminate label, Attia et al. disclose a transparent flexible film (Figure 4, #24) that is die cut to form the wristband form (Figure 6). The portion of the film that is die cut to form the overlaminate and folded over the main section of the wristband (Column 5, lines 42 - 50; Figures 6 and 7, #26).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., placing over printing on the opaque layer) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claim states that there is an opaque coating on the surface of the transparent layer and that only has to receive printing. The opaque area of the Haas reference will receive printing.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. the overlaminate portion being separately detachable from the patient wristband form) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claim states that top ply being removably mounted on the upper surface of the release ply. Attia et al. discloses that the top layer is removably mounted on the upper surface of the release ply (Column 3, lines 61 – 64).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,095 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER